

**\*E-FILED - 5/11/10\***

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BARTOLO MULLEN,	)	No. C 09-6081 RMW (PR)
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	ORDER OF SERVICE;
	)	DIRECTING DEFENDANTS
v.	)	TO FILE DISPOSITIVE
	)	MOTION OR MOTION FOR
ED FOULK, et al.,	)	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

Plaintiff, a civilly committed person proceeding pro se, filed a civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On March 24, 2010, the court conducted a preliminary screening of plaintiff's complaint and dismissed with leave to amend several defendants from this action. The court found that, liberally construed, the plaintiff did state cognizable claims of retaliation, due process, procedural due process, and that Welfare and Institution Code § 7301 is unconstitutional. The court instructed plaintiff to either file an amended complaint or notify the court that he wished to proceed with the cognizable claims found in the order.

On April 23, 2010, plaintiff filed a notification choosing to proceed with the original complaint and foregoing the previously dismissed defendants. Accordingly, the court orders as follows:

1. The clerk of the court shall issue summons and the United States Marshal shall serve, without prepayment of fees, a copy of the complaint, all attachments thereto, and a copy

of this order upon: **Executive Director Ed Foulk at Napa State Hospital, Director of Long Term Care Services Cindy Radavsky at Department of Mental Health in Sacramento, and G. Marshall, CDCR in Sacramento.** The clerk shall also mail a courtesy copy of this order and the complaint, with all attachments thereto, to the **California Attorney General's Office.**

2. No later than **ninety (90) days** from the date of this order, defendants shall file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion with respect to the claims in the complaint as set forth above, or notify the court that they are of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by such a motion.

a. If defendants elect to file a motion to dismiss on the grounds that plaintiff failed to exhaust his available administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), defendant shall do so in an unenumerated Rule 12(b) motion pursuant to Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108, 1119-20 (9th Cir. 2003).

b. Any motion for summary judgment shall be supported by adequate factual documentation and shall conform in all respects to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. **Defendants are advised that summary judgment cannot be granted, nor qualified immunity found, if material facts are in dispute. If any defendant is of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, he shall so inform the court prior to the date the summary judgment motion is due.**

3. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion shall be filed with the court and served on defendant no later than **thirty (30) days** from the date defendants' motion is filed.

a. In the event defendants file an unenumerated motion to dismiss under Rule 12(b), plaintiff is hereby cautioned as follows:<sup>1</sup>

The defendants have made a motion to dismiss pursuant to Rule 12(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on the ground you have not exhausted your administrative remedies. The motion will, if granted, result in the dismissal of your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust, and that motion is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony) and/or documents, you may not simply rely on what your complaint

---

<sup>1</sup>The following notice is adapted from the summary judgment notice to be given to pro se prisoners as set forth in Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 963 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). See Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d at 1120 n.14.

says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or documents, that contradict the facts shown in the defendant's declarations and documents and show that you have in fact exhausted your claims. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, the motion to dismiss, if appropriate, may be granted and the case dismissed.

b. In the event defendants file a motion for summary judgment, the Ninth Circuit has held that the following notice should be given to plaintiffs:

The defendants have made a motion for summary judgment by which they seek to have your case dismissed. A motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case.

Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact--that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendants' declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted in favor of defendants, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.

See Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 963 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). Plaintiff is advised to read Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317 (1986) (holding party opposing summary judgment must come forward with evidence showing triable issues of material fact on every essential element of his claim). Plaintiff is cautioned that failure to file an opposition to defendants' motion for summary judgment may be deemed to be a consent by plaintiff to the granting of the motion, and granting of judgment against plaintiff without a trial. See Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53-54 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam); Brydges v. Lewis, 18 F.3d 651, 653 (9th Cir. 1994).

4. Defendants shall file a reply brief no later than **fifteen (15) days** after plaintiff's opposition is filed.

5. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due. No hearing will be held on the motion unless the court so orders at a later date.

6. All communications by the plaintiff with the court must be served on defendants,

1 or defendants' counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing a true copy of the  
2 document to defendants or defendants' counsel.

3 7. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.  
4 No further court order is required before the parties may conduct discovery.

5 For plaintiff's information, the proper manner of promulgating discovery is to send  
6 demands for documents or interrogatories (questions asking for specific, factual responses)  
7 directly to defendants' counsel. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 33-34. The scope of discovery is limited to  
8 matters "relevant to the claim or defense of any party . . ." See Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1).

9 Discovery may be further limited by court order if "(i) the discovery sought is unreasonably  
10 cumulative or duplicative, or is obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less  
11 burdensome, or less expensive; (ii) the party seeking discovery has had ample opportunity by  
12 discovery in the action to obtain the information sought; or (iii) the burden or expense of the  
13 proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit." Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2). In order to comply  
14 with the requirements of Rule 26, before deciding to promulgate discovery plaintiff may find it  
15 to his benefit to wait until defendants have filed a dispositive motion which could include some  
16 or all of the discovery plaintiff might seek. In addition, no motion to compel will be considered  
17 by the Court unless the meet-and-confer requirement of Rule 37(a)(2)(B) and N.D. Cal. Local  
18 Rule 37-1 has been satisfied. Because plaintiff is detained, he is not required to meet and confer  
19 with defendants in person. Rather, if his discovery requests are denied and he intends to seek a  
20 motion to compel he must send a letter to defendants to that effect, offering them one last  
21 opportunity to provide him with the sought-after information.

22 8. It is plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the court  
23 and all parties informed of any change of address and must comply with the court's orders in a  
24 timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute  
25 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 DATED: 5/11/10

28   
RONALD M. WHYTE  
United States District Judge